IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 21738 of 2002

Between:

M/s.Balaji Fireworks, Rep. by its Proprietor, M.Balaji,
R/o.7-1-235/A/8, Prasant Colony,
Balkampet, Hyderabad-16.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner of Police,
Hyderabad.
2 The Dy. Commissioner of Police, West Zone,
Hyderabad.
3 Inspector of Police,
S.R.Nagar, Hyderabad.
4 Inspector of Police, Crime Branch,
S.R.Nagar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring that the action of the respondents police authorities in not permitting the petitioner to conduct business in Diwali Fire Works/ Crackers (Whole Sale and retailing) in their licensed premises at Ameerpet, 7-1-77/D/1/9 & 10, Dharan Karan Road, Hyderabad and directing the petitioner to shift his shop to outskirt of the city is arbitrary, illegal and without jurisdiction and direct the respondents police authorities not to interfere with the business of the petitioner in any manner whatsoever in the licensed premises at Ameerpet, 7-1-77/D/1/9 & 10, Dharan Karan Road, Hyderabad (Whole sale and Retailing) pending disposal of Writ Petition and grant such other relief as it deem fit in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: G.JHANSI

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

-

M/s.Balaji Fireworks, represented by its Proprietor M.Balaji, filed the present writ petition seeking a direction to the respondents not to interfere with the business of the petitioner in selling Diwali fire-crackers for the year 2002.

The petitioner sought the relief with regard to Diwali 2002. Nothing survives in the writ petition at this point of time.

The writ petition is therefore dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

23.06.2005

vs

To

1 The Commissioner of Police,
Hyderabad.
2 The Dy. Commissioner of Police, West Zone,
Hyderabad.
3 Inspector of Police,
S.R.Nagar, Hyderabad.
4 Inspector of Police, Crime Branch,
S.R.Nagar, Hyderabad.

5 Two CCs to the G.P for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad(O.U.T).

6 2 CD copies.